



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/617,074	07/10/2003	Scott E. Preece	CS22227RA	3394
22917	7590	02/11/2009		
MOTOROLA, INC.			EXAMINER	
1303 EAST ALGONQUIN ROAD			CUMMING, WILLIAM D	
IL.01/3RD				
SCHAUMBURG, IL 60196			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2617	
NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE			
02/11/2009	ELECTRONIC			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

Docketing.US@motorola.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
AND INTERFERENCES

Ex parte SCOTT E. PREECE

Application No. 10/617,074
Technology Center 2600

Mailed: February 11, 2009

Before CAROLYN L. JOHNSON, *Supervisory Paralegal Specialist*.
JOHNSON, *Supervisory Paralegal Specialist*

ORDER RETURNING UNDOCKETED APPEAL TO EXAMINER

This application was electronically received by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences on December 16, 2008. A review of the application revealed that it is not ready for docketing as an appeal. Accordingly, the application is herewith being returned to the Examiner to address the following matter(s) requiring attention prior to docketing.

EXAMINER'S ANSWER, GROUNDS OF REJECTION

A review of the file finds that the grounds of rejection of the claims as provided in the Examiner's Answer mailed February 27, 2008 under the heading "Grounds of rejection" is not consistent with the grounds of rejection of claims set forth in the last Office action of record. The grounds of rejection of the claims as provided in the Examiner's Answer must be consistent with the last Office action of record, including any Advisory action responsive to any after final submissions. Each Grounds of rejection to be reviewed on appeal must be identified and any new grounds of rejection must be provided under a separate heading "New Grounds of Rejection" in the Examiner's Answer and must include the approval of the TC Director or his/her designee. *See also Manual of Patent Examining Procedure* (MPEP) § 1207.02 and 1207.03 (8th ed. Rev. 6, Sept 2007) for details.

A review of the Examiner's Answer finds a new Ground of Rejection that has not been provided the required heading "New Grounds of Rejection" and/or which does not include the approval of the TC Director or his/her designee.

Specifically, the Examiner's Answer sets forth a rejection of claims 1, 9 and 15 as being rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph; whereas the last Office action, including any mailed Advisory Action, finds that claims 1-8 and 15-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph; claims 5 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first

paragraph; claims 1-8 and 15-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph; and claims 1-8 and 15-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 101.

Correction of all grounds of rejection for all claims is required.

CONCLUSION

Accordingly, it is **ORDERED** that the application be returned to the Examiner:

- (1) to vacate the Examiner's Answer mailed February 27, 2008;
- (2) to generate a new Examiner's Answer setting forth the correct Grounds of rejection and to correct other sections of the Answer as may be required;
- (3) to include the approval of the TC Director or his/her designee (as required for any new grounds of rejection); and
- (4) for such further action as may be appropriate.

If there are any questions pertaining to this Order, please contact the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences at 571-272-9797.

CLJ

MOTOROLA, INC.
1303 EAST ALGONQUIN ROAD
IL01/3RD
SCHAUMBURG, IL 60196